

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/018,043	CHMIELEWSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leigh C. Maier	1623	

All Participants:

(1) Leigh C. Maier.

(2) Tim Thomas.

Status of Application: after final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 July 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

7-10

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Exr indicated that claims were in order for allowance, but withdrawn claims 7-10 were still pending. Mr Thomas authorized cancelation of these claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)